

Doe Donald Trump vallen en win 10 miljoen dollar !

Date : 15 oktober 2017

SENDAT, OCTOBER 15, 2017 - THE WASHINGTON POST

11 11

A11

ADVERTISEMENT

ADVERTISEMENT

LARRY FLYNT AND HUSTLER® MAGAZINE
ANNOUNCE A CASH OFFER OF UP TO

\$10 MILLION

FOR INFORMATION LEADING TO
THE IMPEACHMENT
AND REMOVAL FROM OFFICE OF

DONALD J. TRUMP

The attempt to impeach Donald Trump will strike many as a sour-grapes plot by Democrats to overturn a legitimate election. But there is a strong case to be made that the last election was illegitimate in many ways—and that after nine tumultuous months in office, Trump has proven he's dangerously unfit to exercise the extreme power secured by our new "unitary executive."

After losing the popular vote, Trump was installed only by the quirks of our antiquated Electoral College, enacted as a concession to lower-population slave states allowed to count slaves as three-fifths of a citizen, even though they couldn't vote—a real anachronism today in a multicultural society still struggling for racial equity and tolerance. The Electoral College violates the "one man, one vote" principle, valuing Wyoming voters, for instance, at 3.6 times more than California voters.

It was also designed as a firewall against an unstable demagogue. Alexander Hamilton hoped that electors would prevent a President "with talents for low intrigue, and the little arts of popularity," a man "not in an eminent degree endowed with the requisite qualifications," or one who allowed "foreign powers to gain an improper ascendancy in our councils"—in other words, a man exactly like Donald Trump. Ironically, the Electoral College backfired in 2016, and it's arguable that Trump did not even win that tally fairly.

After the 2010 census, Republicans went on a scorched earth spree of gerrymandering—the "most extreme gerrymanders in modern history," according to separate studies by the AP, Brennan Center for Justice at New York University School of Law and the Princeton University Gerrymandering Project. The practice was most acute in the battleground states—Michigan, Pennsylvania, Wisconsin and Florida—that Trump won. Had those states not been skewed with unearned representatives and electors, Trump probably would have lost. But there was another cheat going on to ensure a virtually stolen election: Kris Kobach's interstate crosscheck system purged up to 1.1 million voters before the 2016 election simply because they shared the same first and last names. Conveniently, one in six Hispanics and one in nine African-Americans were on the list of 7 million "suspects" in 28 states—voters unlikely to cast a ballot for Trump. The third cheat was the Trump team's still cryptic collusion with Russian agents to influence the election in his favor. Combined, these three shenanigans rigged the outcome against a nearly 3-million vote plurality for Clinton.

Of course, this skullduggery alone does not make the absolute moral or legal case for impeachment. The Constitution mandates "Impeachment for, and Conviction of, Treason, Bribery, or other high Crimes and Misdemeanors." So here are the charges:

1. Colluding with a hostile foreign power to rig our elec-

tion, and obstruction of justice in the firing of FBI Director James Comey.

2. Inciting violent civil strife with his racial dog-whistling and unrepentant defense of the KKK and neo-Nazis after the Charlottesville riots.

3. Compromising domestic and foreign policy with his massive conflicts-of-interest global business empire.

4. Telling hundreds of bald-faced lies, and complete ignorance of world affairs.

5. Gross nepotism and appointment of unqualified persons to high office.

6. Sabotaging the 195-nation Paris accords to save the planet from future climate cataclysm. If that is not at least a misdemeanor, the term is meaningless.

But most worrisome is that, long before climate-change apocalypse strikes, Trump might trigger a nuclear world war. His foreign policy decisions have been marked not by sober reflection, but thin-skinned emotion and erratic, ill-advised tweets, often at odds with established policy. In a meeting with a foreign policy consultant before his election, Trump inquired three times as to why the U.S. can't use nuclear weapons. Given his impulsive blustering and megalomania (actually equating himself to Lincoln), this is truly horrifying.

Impeachment would be a messy, contentious affair, but the alternative—three more years of destabilizing dysfunction—is worse. Both good Democrats and good Republicans who put country over party did it before with Watergate. To succeed, impeachment requires unimpeachable evidence. That's why I'm making this offer: Buried in Trump's top-secret tax returns or in other records from his fan-fung investments there may be a smoking gun. Did he make some financial quid pro quo with the Russians? Has the business of the United States been compromised to protect the business of the Trump empire? We need to flush everything out into the open.

This is not my first rodeo: I offered rewards for information that led to both the resignation of former Speaker of the House-Elect Bob Livingston (who spearheaded the Clinton impeachment effort) and the exposure of Senator David Vitter's prostitution scandal. For the current crisis, I have upped the ante to \$10 million. I do not expect any of Trump's billionaire cronies to rat him out, but I am confident that there are many people in the know for whom \$10 million is a lot of money. And just because you pay for information doesn't mean it's not good. Make no mistake, I fully intend to pay this entire sum. Sure, I could use that \$10 million to buy luxuries or further my businesses, but what good would that do me in a world devastated by the most powerful moron in history?

I feel it is my patriotic duty, and the duty of all Americans, to dump Trump before it's too late.

CALL OUR HOTLINE

1-800-251-2714

OR EMAIL US AT

HUSTLERTIPLINE@LFP.COM

ALL CALLS AND CORRESPONDENCE WILL BE KEPT STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL. This offer is conditioned upon the person(s) submitting the materials to HUSTLER® having obtained and submitted the relevant materials lawfully; the right for HUSTLER® to be able to lawfully publish the materials submitted to it; and HUSTLER® actually electing to publish such materials in one of its publications. HUSTLER® in its sole discretion shall determine the following: (i) whether the submitted materials were obtained and submitted lawfully by the submitter(s); (ii) the right of HUSTLER® to lawfully publish the submitted materials; and (iii) whether or not to publish the submitted materials. The actual amount and terms of payment shall be subject to and conditioned upon actual publication of said materials by HUSTLER® and the mutual agreement of the parties prior to any publication.

PAID FOR BY LARRY FLYNT AND HUSTLER® MAGAZINE

Je kan er niet naast kijken in

de zondag editie van de The Washington Post. In een pagina grote advertentie belooft Larry Flynt 10 miljoen dollar aan wie informatie aanbrengt waarmee de Amerikaanse president Donald Trump uit het Witte Huis kan worden gegooid. Larry Flynt is vooral bekend als uitgever van het pornoblad Hustler. "Impeachment (de procedure om Trump mee af te zetten, nvdr) is smerig, maar drie jaar Trump is nog erger." zegt Flynt. En hij gaat verder: "Ik denk niet dat je 30 jaar kan leven zoals Trump zonder enige bagage onder weg achter te laten.... Ik kan mij niets meer vaderlandslievend voorstellen dan die nietsnut te laten vallen."

Wat verwijt Flynt de Amerikaanse president? Alles eigenlijk. Belangenvermenging van zijn zakenbelangen met de politiek. Liegen. Nepotisme. Benoeming van onbekwamen op hoge posten. Belangenvermenging met de Russen.

Het is niet de eerste keer dat Flynt een premie uitlooft om de strijd aan te gaan met politici. In 1998 en 2007 bood hij telkens 1 miljoen dollar aan iedereen die een affaire kon aantonen met een politicus. Daarbij kwamen respectievelijk Bob Livingston, toenmalig voorzitter van het Huis van Afgevaardigden, en senator David Vitter in opspraak. Livingston moest toen zelfs aftreden. En bij de presidentsverkiezingen in 2012 kondigde Flynt ook een beloning van 1 miljoen dollar aan voor bezwarend materiaal over Mitt Romney, de uitdager van toenmalig president Barack Obama.